



Algorithms: Design  
and Analysis, Part II

# NP-Completeness

---

Definition and  
Interpretation

# The Class NP

**Refined idea:** Prove that TSP is as hard as all brute-force-solvable problems.

**Definition:** A problem is in NP if:

- (1) Solutions always have length polynomial in the input size
- (2) Purported solutions can be verified in polynomial time.

**Examples:** - Is there a TSP tour with length  $\leq 1000$ ?  
- Constraint satisfaction problems (e.g., 3SAT)

# Interpretation of NP-Completeness

**Note:** Every problem in NP can be solved by brute-force search in exponential time. [Just check every candidate solution.]

**Fact:** Vast majority of natural computational problems are in NP [≈ Can recognize a solution]

**By definition of completeness:** A polynomial-time algorithm for one NP-complete problem solves every problem in NP efficiently [i.e., implies that  $P=NP$ ]

**Upshot:** NP-completeness is **strong** evidence of intractability!

# A Little History

**Interpretation:** An NP-complete problem encodes simultaneously all problems for which a solution can be efficiently recognized (a “universal problem”).

**Question:** Can such problems really exist?

**Amazing fact #1:** [Cook '71, Levin '73] NP-complete problems exist.

**Amazing fact #2:** [started by Karp '72] 1000s of natural and important problems are NP-complete (including TSP).

# NP-Completeness User's Guide

**Essential tool in the programmer's toolbox:** The following recipe for proving that a problem  $\Pi$  is NP-complete.

(1) Find a known NP-complete problem  $\Pi'$  (see e.g. [Garey + Johnson, Computers + Intractability](#))

(2) Prove that  $\Pi'$  reduces to  $\Pi$

$\Rightarrow$  implies that  $\Pi$  at least as hard as  $\Pi'$

$\Rightarrow$   $\Pi$  is NP-complete as well (assuming  $\Pi$  is an NP problem)