

# CS264: Homework #7

Due by midnight on Thursday, March 2, 2017

## Instructions:

- (1) Form a group of 1-3 students. You should turn in only one write-up for your entire group. See the course site for submission instructions.
- (2) Please type your solutions if possible and feel free to use the LaTeX template provided on the course home page.
- (3) All students should complete all of the exercises. Students taking the course for a letter grade should also complete all of the problems.
- (4) Write convincingly but not excessively. Exercise solutions rarely need to be more than 1-2 paragraphs. Problem solutions rarely need to be more than a half-page (per part), and can often be shorter.
- (5) You may refer to your course notes, and to the textbooks and research papers listed on the course Web page *only*. You cannot refer to textbooks, handouts, or research papers that are not listed on the course home page. (Exception: feel free to use your undergraduate algorithms textbook.) Cite any sources that you use, and make sure that all your words are your own.
- (6) If you discuss solution approaches with anyone outside of your team, you must list their names on the front page of your write-up.
- (7) Exercises are worth 5 points each. Problem parts are labeled with point values.
- (8) No late assignments will be accepted.

## Lecture 13 Exercises

### Exercise 39

We stated in lecture that sparse approximation is  $NP$ -hard for arbitrary matrices  $\mathbf{A}$ , based on a reduction from Exact Cover by 3-Sets (X3C). In the X3C problem, we are given a set  $S$  and a collection  $\mathcal{C}$  of 3-element subsets of  $S$ . We want to determine whether  $\mathcal{C}$  contains an exact cover for  $S$ , i.e., a sub-collection  $\hat{\mathcal{C}} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  such that every element of  $S$  occurs exactly once in  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ . Give a polynomial-time reduction from X3C to sparse approximation.

## Lecture 14 Exercises

### Exercise 40

The natural formulation for  $k$ -means involves centers for each cluster, and measuring the sum of squared distances to cluster centers. In lecture, we introduced a relaxation which takes a suitable average of pairwise squared distances in each cluster. Show that the two formulations are equivalent.

## Problems

### Problem 26

This problem explores the “Restricted Isometry Property” (RIP), which is another sufficient condition for exact sparse recovery via our  $\ell_1$ -minimization linear program (LP). Formally, fix an integer  $s \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  for the rest of the problem. We define the *isometry constant*  $\delta_s$  of a matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  as the smallest number such that

$$(1 - \delta_s)\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \leq \|\mathbf{Ax}\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \delta_s)\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \quad (1)$$

for every  $s$ -sparse vector  $\mathbf{x}$ .

Analogous to our result from lecture for sparseish vectors, we will prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1** *Suppose we have a matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , with  $\delta_{2s}$  a sufficiently small constant. Let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be  $s$ -sparse, and let  $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{Ax}$ . Then (LP) returns  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x}$ .*

- (a) (3 points) Prove that for  $s$ -sparse vectors  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'$  supported on disjoint sets,

$$|(\mathbf{Ax}) \cdot (\mathbf{Ax}')| \leq \delta_{2s}\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \cdot \|\mathbf{x}'\|_2.$$

[Hint: apply RIP to  $(\mathbf{x} \pm \mathbf{x}')$ .]

- (b) (3 points) Let  $\mathbf{h} = \hat{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}$ , and recall that  $\mathbf{Ah} = 0$ . Assume that  $\mathbf{h} \neq 0$  (otherwise we’re done). Let’s decompose  $\mathbf{h}$  into a sum of  $s$ -sparse vectors  $\mathbf{h}_0, \mathbf{h}_1, \dots, \mathbf{h}_k$ . We let  $\mathbf{h}_0$  contain the  $s$  coefficients of  $\mathbf{x}$ , and then assign the remaining coefficients,  $s$  at a time, to  $\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots$  in descending order of magnitude. Prove that:

$$\left\| \sum_{j \geq 1} \mathbf{h}_j \right\|_1 \leq \|\mathbf{h}_0\|_1$$

[Hint: Use the fact that  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  is an optimal solution to the LP.]

- (c) (9 points) Next, prove that if  $\delta_{2s}$  is a sufficiently small constant, then  $\|\mathbf{h}_0\|_1 < \|\sum_{j \geq 1} \mathbf{h}_j\|_1$ . Conclude by deducing Theorem 1.

[Hint: apply RIP to  $(\mathbf{h}_0 + \mathbf{h}_1)$ , and use part (a) repeatedly.]

### Problem 27

The goal of this problem is to extend the compressive sensing result from Lecture #13 to the case where the ground truth vector  $\mathbf{z}$  is only *approximately*  $k$ -sparse. Let  $I$  denote the  $k$  coordinates of  $\mathbf{z}$  that maximize the  $\ell_1$  norm  $\sum_{i \in I} |z_i|$ , and let  $r$  denote the residual  $\ell_1$  norm  $\sum_{i \notin I} |z_i|$  on the coordinates outside of  $I$ .

Assume that  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{n}{k}}$ -sparse-ish, as in lecture. Let  $\mathbf{w}$  denote the optimal solution to the  $\ell_1$ -minimizing linear program from lecture.

- (a) (7 points) Prove that

$$\|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{w}\|_1 \leq 2\|(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{w})_I\|_1 + 2r.$$

[This follows from similar maneuvers to the derivation in lecture.]

- (b) (3 points) Conclude that the computed vector  $\mathbf{w}$  is almost the same as the ground truth vector  $\mathbf{z}$ , in the sense that  $\|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{w}\|_1 \leq 4r$ .

[Use a result from lecture.]

### Problem 28

Consider the  $k$ -means linear programming relaxation for an instance with two clusters  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  with  $n$  points each. Suppose there exist points  $x, y \in C_1, z \in C_2$  such that  $d(x, y) > d(x, z)$ . We want to show that the optimal solution to the  $k$ -means LP does not correspond to the partition into clusters  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ .

(a) (10 points) Prove the claim for the LP considered in lecture, without symmetry constraints:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{i,j} z_{ij} \|p_i - p_j\|_2^2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & z_{ij} \leq z_{ii} \quad \forall i, j \\ & \sum_j z_{ij} = 1 \quad \forall i \\ & \sum_i z_{ii} = k \\ & z_{ij} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

(b) (10 extra credit points) Prove or disprove the claim for the LP considered in lecture:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{i,j} z_{ij} \|p_i - p_j\|_2^2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & z_{ij} = z_{ji} \quad \forall i, j \\ & z_{ij} \leq z_{ii} \quad \forall i, j \\ & \sum_j z_{ij} = 1 \quad \forall i \\ & \sum_i z_{ii} = k \\ & z_{ij} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$